UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,778	05/15/2000	BENEDICT SEIFERT	3711-000105	1847
HARNESS DI	7590 01 <sup>1</sup> /09/2008 CKEY & PIERCE	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 828		FELTEN, DANIEL S		
BLOOMFIELI	O HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3694	
	1		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments		Application No.				
		09/508,778	SEIFERT ET AL.			
Office Action Sumi	nary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel S. Felten	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date If NO period for reply is specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	M THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period wriod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  BEGON THIS COMMUNICATION  BEGON THIS COMMUNICATION  ATERIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION  ATERIOR OF THIS COMMUNICATION  BEGON THIS COMMUNICATION  BETT COMMUNICATION	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 16 No	ovember 2007.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
3) Since this application is in o	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with t	he practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)	is/are withdraved. ejected. eted to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
· ·	is/are: a) ☐ acce t any objection to the including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

09/508,778 Art Unit: 3694

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt of the Request for continued Examination (RCE) filed November 16, 2007 is acknowledged.

# Response to Arguments

2. Arguments regarding The 35 USC 102 rejection are moot in view of the rejection maintained below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-57 & 59 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lupien.

Regarding Claims 27,28,1, Lupien teaches a method, apparatus, and computer terminal comprising: receiving from users orders each specifying a particular first resource ordered by a particular user and storing them as an array in a first storage means; (Col. 6, lines 40-59)

processing said orders retrieved from said first storage means to calculate a set of coefficients each representing the proportion of a particular order that is to be satisfied; (Col. 6, lines 40-59);

optimizing the values of said coefficients with respect to at least one predetermined, adjustable constraint and at least one predetermined, adjustable criterion; (Col. 8, lines 16-26);

09/508,778 Art Unit: 3694

storing said optimized coefficient values in a second storage means; and outputting the processed orders and their respective coefficients. (Col. 9, lines 9-16)

Regarding Claims 29 & 2, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: at least one constraint includes that the value of each of said coefficients is less than or equal to 1 and greater than or equal to O. (Col. 6, lines 60-65)

Regarding Claims 30 & 3, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein:

a designated one of said users takes the opposite position to each other user order by agreeing to exchange a proportion of the ordered first resource for a second resource, where said proportion corresponds to the optimized coefficient for that order. (Col. 10, lines 57-67)

Regarding Claims 31 & 4, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: one constraint includes that if all orders were to be completed, in proportion to their respective coefficients, the designated user's holdings arising from the processed orders would be only nonnegative amounts of each resource, including after maturation of all simple derivatives and options to trade resources in the future. (Col. 5, line 66 - Col. 6, line 7; Col. 19, lines 4-14, discussing derivatives)

Regarding Claims 34 & 7, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: said optimizing step includes maximizing the volume given by the sum of the absolute values of the components of all orders that are satisfied, partially or in full, in terms of a particular simple resource at a given exchange rate. (Col. 15, line 61 - Col. 16, line 9; also see Table 1, where Market Order Situation is "none" under "Overlapping Limit Profiles".)

09/508,778

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding Claims 35-36 & 8-9, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus comprising: successively applying respective criteria in a cascaded manner to obtain optimized values of said coefficient; and specifying the sequence of said cascaded criteria. Lupien discusses applying multiple 'criteria affecting satisfaction density profile in great detail at (Col. 12, lines 62 - Col. 13, line 67).

Regarding Claims 37 & 10, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus comprising: applying, in sequence, each one of a plurality of predefined linear programming routines, or convex programming routines, or standard combinatorial optimization techniques, to optimize said coefficients until one of the following events occurs: a specified maximum period of time lapses; an optimal solution is found. (Col. 7, lines 24-28, describing "time in force", and Col. 14, lines 39-67, describing optimization approaches)

Regarding Claims 38 & 11, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: if a specified maximum period of time elapses before an optimal solution is found, a consistent sub-optimal solution is used as the optimized set of coefficient values. (Col. 14, lines 39-67, and Col. 16, line 25-30, describing a low- end threshold for optimization)

Regarding Claims 39 & 12, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: said processing step further comprises retrieving said orders from said second storage means in

09/508,778 Art Unit: 3694

batches, and is followed by said optimizing step to obtain optimized coefficient values for said batch of orders. (Col. 4, lines 60-65)

Regarding Claims 40 & 13, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: the end of a batch is determined by a preset interval of time since the start of that batch. (Col. 4, lines 60-65)

Regarding Claims 41 & 14, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: the end of a batch is determined by the total order value exceeding a threshold value. (Col. 11, lines 7-10)

Regarding Claims 42 & 15, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus comprising: forwarding orders in one batch that are not satisfied, completely or partially, following the optimizing step, to be processed in the next batch.

(Col. 11, lines 10-16 and Figure #8, items 108-124)

Regarding Claims 43 & 16, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus comprising: the step of removing orders from said second storage means that have not been satisfied, completely or partially, after a preset length of time from submission of those orders. (Col. 11, lines 10-16 and Figure #8, items 108-124)

Regarding Claims 44 & 17, I\_upien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: said preset length of time for each order is specified by the relevant user. (Col. 7, lines 24-28, describing "time in force")

09/508,778 Art Unit: 3694

Regarding Claims 46 & 19, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: at least one user order stored in said first storage means specifies a particular second resource offered in exchange for said first resource to define a resource flow.

--trading in currency, which is expressly disclosed at (Col. 6, lines 2-7).

Regarding Claim 47 & 20, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: at least one user order stored in said first storage means orders said first resource at a prevailing market exchange rate.

Regarding Claim 48 & 21, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: a resource in at least one order is a compound resource. As defined by the accompanying glossary, a compound resource appears to be a buy & sell order of a security order. However, as mentioned at (Col. 6, lines 2-7), this system is designed to accommodate all kinds of orders and traded instruments.

Regarding Claim 49, Lupien teach a method further comprising: communicating orders entered on a plurality of terminals to a central server for processing said orders, via a network.

(Figure #1)

Regarding Claims 53 & 25, Lupien teaches a method and apparatus wherein: said instruments being traded are financial, such as currencies, securities, and futures on the value of commodities. (Col. 6, lines 2-7).

09/508,778

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding to Claim 56, Lupien teaches a method wherein: a designated user receives a revenue limited by, or predetermined as, a fraction of the total traded volume. (Table 1, utilizing weighted volume in effecting price in satisfaction determinations)

Regarding Claims 57, Lupien teaches a method comprising: controlling a process using the processed orders and their coefficients output in said outputting step. (Col. 9, lines 9-30)

Regarding Claim 32 & 5 recite a method wherein: said optimizing step includes as one criterion maximizing the revenue of said designated user, in terms of a particular simple resource, based on an exchange rate. Inherent to process of Lupien is revenue optimization, and is reflected in constraints placed in a density profile. Please see (Col. 17, line 43 - Col. 18, line 43), as to how the density profile can be manipulated. However, Lupien does not expressly teach maximization user revenue, based on an exchange rate. Official Notice is taken that increasing user revenue based on exchange rate variability is old and well known in the finance arts. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to combine the teachings of Lupien, to include maximizing user revenue based on the exchange rate as criteria in effecting the coefficients. The motivation for such a combination is within the knowledge of one ordinarily skilled in the art, and is simply to extend the applicability of the trading constraint system by encouraging increased international trading and increased trading in currency.

09/508,778

Art Unit: 3694

Regarding Claims 33 & 6 recite a method wherein: a third storage means is for storing an array of data representing the current exchange rate between each resource and at least one other resource, said method further comprising the step of retrieving exchange rate data from a third storage means for use in optimizing said coefficients. Lupien does not expressly teach this limitation. As mentioned above, Official Notice is taken that increasing user revenue based on exchange rate variability is old and well known in the finance arts. Thus it would have been obvious to modify Lupien to store and retrieve exchange rate information in order to optimize coefficients when establishing a density profile. The motivation in modifying Lupien is again, simply encouraging international use of the system, and increased trading in currency.

Regarding Claims 52 and 24, Lupien does not expressly teach a method and apparatus comprising: computing updated exchange rates based on the satisfied order flow and storing said updated exchange rates in said third storage means. This claim is rejected as in the previous claim, however, in that updating exchange rate is the next logical step when using exchange rate to optimize the coefficients. That is to say, given that exchange rates are being used in the process, it would be obvious to update exchange rates because exchange rates are constantly fluctuating.

With regard to Claim 55, Lupien does not expressly teach a method comprising: refunding a proportion of the value of an order accepted at greater than a prevailing exchange rate to the respective user; and However Official Notice is taken that refunding value based on orders accepted greater than the exchange rate is old and well known in the art. Presuming that

09/508,778

Art Unit: 3694

using exchange rates to optimize coefficients and increase revenue does just that, credit value can be returned. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Lupien to include refunding excess value of an order accepted at greater than a prevailing exchange rate. The motivation for such a modification is within the general knowledge to one of ordinary skill in the art, and is simply to encourage trading by refunding value to the traders.

Regarding Claim 45 & 18, Lupien does not expressly teach a method and apparatus comprising: deleting from said second memory means unsatisfied orders at the request of a user. Although Lupien describes an interface button allowing a user to quit an operating program at (Col. 7, lines 45-60), it does not expressly disclose the deletion aspect described above. However Official Notice is taken that the ability to delete or withdraw unmatched orders is old and well known in the financial arts. As such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to modify Lupien to expressly allow deletion of unmatched orders. The motivation for such a modification is within the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, and is simply to all users to withdraw orders so as to rethink strategies, rather than be forced into accepting matching in subsequent processing.

Regarding Claim 51 & 23, Lupien does not expressly teach a method wherein: said communication is done by means of TCP/IP. However Official Notice is taken that this communication protocol is very old and well known. As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Lupien to include TCP/IP. The motivation for such a modification is simply to allow the system to be used over the Internet.

09/508,778 Art Unit: 3694

Regarding Claim 50 & 22, Lupien does not expressly teach a method and apparatus further comprising: aggregating in a sub-server orders from users before communicating them to said central server. However, there does not appear to be any methodology behind this technology choice, and as such, carries little patentable weight. Official Notice is taken that alternative system configurations are old and well known in the art. As such, it would be only an obvious modification to modify Lupien to include the above-described system configuration. The motivation for such a modification is simply to increase processing speed to whichever configuration is fastest.

Regarding Claims 54 & 26, Lupien does not expressly teach a method and apparatus comprising: transmitting the result of said outputting step to a means for settlement of said orders. However Official Notice is taken that settling matched orders is old and well known in the art. As such it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to modify the teachings of Lupien to include outputting matched trades to settlement. The motivation for the modification is simply to encourage use in the system by making it easy to finalize trades through settlement. This fact is within the general knowledge of one ordinarily skilled in the art.

09/508,778

Art Unit: 3694

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Felten whose telephone number is (571) 272-6742. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S Felten

Examiner

Art Unit 3694

DSF 01/03/2008